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## Chapter 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 EMPLOYMENT STATISTICS - NEED AND Availability

Employment generation is the key channel through which economic growth translates into prosperity for the population. Gainful employment generation is the bedrock for attaining growth with equity and to alleviate poverty. Cross country analysis finds that structural transformation of employment towards manufacturing and other non-farm sectors, education, and lowering of the dependency burden (i.e., increase in labour force participation) make a positive impact on poverty reduction. In the short run, sectoral productivity and employment growth may have important implications for poverty alleviation. The Government of India's 'Make in India Campaign' aimed at making India a manufacturing hub, is a significant step towards achieving employment intensive growth.

Rapid employment generation assumes even greater importance for India which is projected to be the nation with youngest population in the world in terms of size by the year 2020. As the Economic Survey 2015-16¹ puts it "To exploit its demographic dividend, India must create millions of "good- safe, productive, well-paying-jobs." Thus, 'gainful employment' matters much to achieve growth with equity and to successfully meet the challenge of the impending demographic dividend.

Availability of statistics on economically active population at (frequent) regular intervals is of utmost importance to monitor the economic/labour market situation, and to devise, execute and evaluate policies for human resource development including skill development, employment creation and poverty reduction. The frequency of employment data becomes even more crucial in the wake of the growing exposure of India to the global economic

[^0]upheavals and its consequent impact on the employment scenario within the country.

Labour and Employment Statistics are collected, compiled and disseminated by several agencies in India. Ministry of Labour and Employment through the office of Labour Bureau is one such important agency involved in this task. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation (MOSPI) is another major source which collects and publishes data on this subject through its various divisions. Besides these two, State governments also collect labour statistics, mostly through their Departments of Labour \& Directorate of Economics \& Statistics.

The household-based employment surveys (like Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) by MOSPI) being conducted in the country are providing employment scenario for the supply-side of labour market. However, a consolidated picture of employment from demand-side at regular intervals is also required for policy planning. For the later purpose, we need establishment-based census and sample surveys. All India Quarterly Establishment-based Employment Survey (AQEES) has been initiated to fulfill the above mentioned gap. AQEES will provide estimates of employment, vacancies, training and other related parameters for major nine non-farm sectors of the economy. The present report is a component of AQEES covering establishments with at least 10 workers. The other component, AFES, will capture information about establishments with less than 10 workers.

### 1.2 Economic Censuses

Economic Censuses (EC) are establishment-based, have been conducted by MOSPI at irregular intervals and do not cover all types of establishments. Economic Censuses have been conducted in the years 1977, 1980, 1990, 1998, 2005, 2013-14 and 2020. Results of the seventh census are yet to appear in the public domain. The sixth Economic Census (6 $6^{\text {th }}$ EC) is the latest available frame of all Non-Agricultural establishments, i.e., excluding those
establishments belonging to crop production, plantation, Public Administration, Defence and Compulsory Social Security. As per the 6th EC (2013-14), 58.5 million establishments were found to be in operation employing 131.29 million persons. Out of 58.5 million establishments, $1.4 \%$ establishments were in the organized segment (establishments employing 10 or more persons). For the purpose of sampling, we need to have names and addresses of all the 58.5 million establishments (including household establishments operating within households or outside in fixed structures or otherwise covered in $6^{\text {th }}$ EC) which are not available. However, a Directory of Establishments with 10 or more workers (sometimes referred to as establishments in the 'organized' segment) with names, addresses and numbers of workers as also types of economic activities carried out (with corresponding codes in National Industrial Classification or NIC) is available and that is all that can be used as a sampling frame.

It is obvious that employment figures from establishment-based surveys like the present QES (as the initial first component of the All-India Quarterly Establishment-based Employment Survey-AQEES) can provide an idea-although not fully- about 'gainful' employment in different sectors of the economy. In the household-based surveys, a person is considered as 'employed' on the basis of usual principal activity status, even if the person is engaged in production of goods and services entirely for own consumption, without any pay or profit.

### 1.3 All-India Quarterly Establishment based Employment Survey (AQEES)

Labour Bureau has been entrusted with the task of conducting the AllIndia Quarterly Establishment based Employment Survey (AQEES). AQEES has two components namely Quarterly Employment Survey (QES) in respect of establishments employing 10 or more workers (mostly constituting the 'organised' segment) and Area Frame Establishment Survey (AFES) to
build up a frame in respect of establishments (mostly the 'unorganised' segment) employing 9 or less workers. After, a period of one year or initial round of AFES, the results from the $4^{\text {th }}$ QES round will be merged with the findings of AFES so as to get a consolidated picture of employment scenario in respect of establishments employing 9 or less workers as also establishments with 10 or more workers. AQEES will cover all non-farm activities except the Divisions 01, 02, \& 03 of NIC-2008.

The scope of the present QES is limited only to establishments having 10 or more persons (Organized Segment) as identified by the $6^{\text {th }}$ EC (2013-14). The scope \& coverage of AQEES and, hence, of QES is further limited to employment in non-farm economy covering nine sectors viz. Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \& Restaurants, IT/BPO and Financial Services.

The list of all these establishments, as appearing in the Directory of Establishments, in the above mentioned nine major sectors in $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{EC}$, was used as the sampling frame for the present QES survey. The aforesaid nine sectors account for around $85 \%$ of the total employment in units with 10 or more workers in the $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{EC}$.

### 1.4 The Present Survey (QES)

The QES component of AQEES started with the reference date as July 1, 2021 to achieve the following two objectives viz.
i) Providing short-term (on quarterly basis) employment estimates for nine selected sectors of the Indian economy viz. Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \& Restaurants, IT/BPOs and Financial Services.
ii) Providing relative change in employment situation over successive quarters in the above segment of Indian Economy.

For selecting a fixed Panel of around 12000 units, Stratified Simple Random Sampling (Without Replacement) was adopted. The guiding principle for selecting these sectors was the proportion of workers in all the establishments employing 10 or more workers engaged in a particular sector. In each of these nine sectors, establishments have been further divided into six classes based on the size of employment viz. 10-39 workers, 40-99 workers, 100-499 workers, 500-999 workers, 1000-4999 workers and more than 5000 workers. Different size-classes in respect of each of the different sectors within each state/Union Territory were recognised as strata and allocation for a given stratum was proportional to the number of establishments contained in it.

These nine sectors account for around $85 \%$ of the total employment in the establishments with ten or more workers in the $6^{\text {th }}$ ECand hence, the sample is quite representative of establishments with ten or more workers in the nine selected sectors. The sampling frame used for the present survey was the Directory of Establishments (based on the 6th EC). The sampling design and estimation procedure used in the survey are given in Annexure-II of this report.

The schedule for QES contains information on identification, establishment particulars, employment with breakup of characteristics such as gender, type and nature along with vacancy details, skill development programme conducted, on-the-job training provided by establishments and also provision of social security benefits. QES schedule has been attached at Annexure-IV of the report.

### 1.5 Summary Results for The second round of Qes

The estimated total employment in the nine selected sectors from the second round of QES (July-September, 2021) came out as 3.10 crore approximately, which is 2 lakhs higher than the estimated employment ( 3.08 crore) from the first round of QES (1st April, 2021). It may be worthwhile to
mention here that the total employment for these nine sectors taken collectively was reported as 2.37 crore in the sixth EC (2013-14). Of the total employment estimated in the selected nine sectors, Manufacturing accounted for nearly $39 \%$, followed by Education with $22 \%$ and Health as well as IT/BPOs sectors both around $10 \%$. Trade and Transport sectors engaged $5.3 \%$ and $4.6 \%$ of the total estimated workers respectively. It is worth a mention that percentage for the IT/BPO sector in Quarter 1 was only 7\%.

Nearly $90 \%$ of the establishments have been estimated to work with less than 100 workers, though $30 \%$ of the IT/BPO establishments worked with at least 100 workers including about $12 \%$ engaging 500 workers or more. In the Health sector, $19 \%$ of the establishments had 100 or more workers. Also, in the case of transport sector, $14 \%$ of the total estimated establishments was operating with 100 or more workers. It may be mentioned that $91 \%$ of establishments were reported to have worked with less than 100 workers in the first round of QES and in the IT/BPO sector, the figures during the first QES stood at $21 \%$ and $14 \%$ respectively for the size classes of 100-499 employees and 500 or more employees.

The over-all percentage of female workers stood at $32.1 \%$, higher than 29.3\% reported during the first round of QES.

Regular workers constitute $87 \%$ of the estimated workforce in the nine selected sectors, with only $2 \%$ being casual workers. However, in the Construction sector, $20 \%$ of the workers were contractual and $6.4 \%$ were casual workers.

Most (98.3\%) of the establishments were located outside households, though a highest 5.1 \% of units in Accommodation and Restaurants sector were found to operate from within households.
$23.5 \%$ of all the establishments were registered under the Companies Act, this percentage was as high as $82.8 \%$ in IT / BPO, $51.2 \%$ in Construction, $42.8 \%$ in Manufacturing, $36.4 \%$ in Transport, $32.1 \%$ in Trade
and $23.8 \%$ in Financial Services. One-fourth of the establishments were operating as registered societies, $53.9 \%$ were registered under the Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 and 27.8\% under Shops \& commercial Establishments Act, 1958.

Looking at the educational qualifications of workers, it came out that $28.4 \%$ of those working in seven of the nine sectors (excluding Education and Health) were matriculates/secondary or less educated, while another 37.0\% were graduates or had higher qualifications. In fact, the latter percentage was as high as $91.6 \%$ in the IT/BPO sector and $59.8 \%$ in Financial Services. In the Health sector, as few as $18 \%$ of the non-Clinical workers were matriculates/secondary or less educated, the figure being $26.4 \%$ in the nonTeaching staff of the Education sector. More than $40 \%$ of the employees in these two sectors were at least graduates.

It is somewhat encouraging to note that $16.8 \%$ of the establishments provided formal skill development programmes, although mostly for their own employees. It transpired that an estimated $5.6 \%$ of the establishments were having vacancies in positions and the estimated number of total vacancies was 4.3 lakhs. About $65.8 \%$ of such vacancies were not due to retirement or resignation of the employees.

### 1.6. PoINTS TO REMEMBER

The sector-wise estimates of employment captured under QES along with information on key parameters bearing on employment like, number of vacancies and reasons thereof, skill development, persons recruited, jobs withdrawn, number of persons retrenched, etc. are highly useful from policy perspective. Therefore, QES data will be found useful by policy-makers, Central/State Governments, research scholars and other stakeholders.

While using the estimates of Q2, the following points have to be kept in mind.
a) QES did not capture employment data from units which emerged after the $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{EC}$ in 2013-14.
b) The survey work for the second quarter of QES corresponds to the period $1^{\text {st }}$ July to 30 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ September 2021.
c) The survey is based on responses of the establishments.
d) If an individual works in more than one establishment on reference date, the worker has been counted separately for each establishment.
e) Percentages shown in the 'Total' row of all the tables include those few sample establishments (45 in number) which were found to belong to sectors other than the nine selected ones during actual survey. This applies to all the tables presented in chapter II.

# Chapter 2 <br> Key Findings of The Survey 

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

In the 2nd round of QES, information has been collected from11503 units spreading over the nine selected sectors all over the country. Key findings of the survey have been presented in this chapter in the form of 16 Tables. Out of these 16 tables, Table 2.1 throws up an idea about the coverage of the survey. Tables 2.2 to 2.8 present the distribution of establishments by different characteristics. Table 2.9 to Table 2.13 discuss about the employment particulars. Table 2.14 gives information regarding skill development and training. At the end of chapter, Table 2.15 and Figure 2.7 have presented the vacancy particulars of the establishments.

Table 2.1: Sector wise number of units surveyed in Q1 \& Q2

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Number of <br> Units selected <br> in QES | Number of <br> Units Covered <br> in Q1 | Number of <br> Units Covered <br> in Q2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(4)$ | $(5)$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 5125 | 4836 | 4996 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Construction | 458 | 430 | 446 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Trade | 1625 | 1512 | 1564 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Transport | 567 | 531 | 541 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Education | 1504 | 1372 | 1451 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | Health | 469 | 430 | 451 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | Accommodation \& | 1061 | 996 | 1033 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Restaurants | 576 | 478 | 501 |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | IT/ BPOs | 653 | 282 | 520 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Financial Services | $\mathbf{1 2 0 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 5 0 3}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.1 shows the number of units selected and surveyed in Quarter 1 and 2 of the QES for each of the nine selected sectors, as mentioned under the third, fourth and fifth columns of the table. Needless to mention, the
units that could be actually surveyed in the quarters varied between them. While 12038 were targeted to be surveyed for all sectors, 10867 could actually be captured in 1 st Quarter and 11503 of units could be surveyed in 2nd quarter.

At sectoral level, majority of the units were selected from 4 sectors viz. Manufacturing, Trade, Education and Accommodation \& Restaurants. Those four sectors accounted for 9315 units which is about $77 \%$ of the total units selected.

The table also reveals that for Financial Services, 653 units were selected, but a meagre 282 of them could be actually surveyed in Q1 and, although the number of units surveyed were more in Q2 (520 units), the unit actually surveyed was much less than the units selected. Furthermore, for the Manufacturing and IT/BPO sectors, the units covered in Q2 are significantly more than the Q1 by 160 units and 23 units respectively. The units covered in Q2 increased for Financial Services (up by 238 units) and Education (up by 79 units). The number of units covered in Q2 at combined level (Total row) is more than that of Q1 by 636 units.

### 2.2 CHARACTERISTICS OF ESTABLISHMENTS IN THE SELECTED SECTORS

This section presents sector-wise employment characteristics for the establishments employing ten or more workers.

### 2.2.1 Distribution of Establishments by Their Status

Table 2.2: Sector-Wise Percentage Distribution of Estimated Total Number of Establishments by their Status

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | An Enterprise <br> by itself | Main Office of <br> an enterprise | Unit/ Branch <br> of an <br> enterprise |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 72.0 | 21.9 | 6.1 |
| 2 | Construction | 58.9 | 35.6 | 5.5 |
| 3 | Trade | 69.2 | 19.3 | 11.5 |
| 4 | Transport | 55.1 | 22.7 | 22.2 |
| 5 | Education | 67.9 | 19.6 | 12.5 |
| 6 | Health | 64.0 | 24.7 | 11.3 |
| 7 | Accommodation 8 <br>  <br> Restaurants | 79.9 | 14.6 | 5.5 |
| 8 | IT/ BPOs | 54.5 |  |  |
| 9 | Financial Services | 47.1 | 28.6 | 17.0 |

Table 2.2 shows the percentage distribution of the estimated establishments by three different statuses viz. whether the establishment itself is an Enterprise, whether it is the main office of an Enterprise or it is a branch office of an Enterprise. Obviously, the row-wise totals will be 100 for each sector. The last row (Total) provides the percentage distribution for all the sectors combined. It has been seen that at combined level, about two thirds of the establishments ( $67.7 \%$ ) are Enterprise by themselves, whereas, only 12.2 \% are branch offices of an Enterprise. Main offices of an Enterprise are found in about one-fifth (20.1\%) of the cases.

At sectoral level, it may be seen that establishments from Accommodation \& Restaurants, Manufacturing, Trade and Education sectors are mostly Enterprise by themselves. In Financial services and IT/BPOs, percentage of units which are Enterprise by themselves is very low (47.1\%
and $54.5 \%$ respectively). Branches of an Enterprise constituted a small percentage for all the sectors, except Financial Services, where $40.8 \%$ of the estimated establishments are found to be concentrated under this category. For Transport sector, units are found to be almost evenly distributed as Main office of an Enterprise or Branch of the Enterprise as 22.7\% and 22.2\% respectively. It is also seen that for Construction, IT/BPOs and Health sectors, a sizable proportion of establishments (35.6\%, 28.6\% and 24.7\% respectively) are Main offices of an Enterprise.

## Table 2.2A: Percentage distribution of estimated total number of establishments by their status for each size class of workers

$\left.\begin{array}{|c|l|c|c|c}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Sl. } \\ \text { No. }\end{array} & \text { Worker size class } & \begin{array}{c}\text { An Enterprise } \\ \text { by itself }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Main Office of } \\ \text { an enterprise }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Unit/ } \\ \text { Branch of } \\ \text { an }\end{array} \\ \text { enterprise }\end{array}\right]$

Looking at figures in Table 2.2A, we find that a great majority (76\%) of small establishments (working with 10 or fewer workers) were enterprises by themselves, as expected. On the other extreme, $47 \%$ of the large establishments belong to this category, with $39 \%$ being main offices of some enterprises. Branch offices/Units constituted 11 to 15 percent of establishments in different size classes.

### 2.2.2. DISTRIBUTION OF Establishments by Location

Table 2.3: Sector-wise Percentage Distribution of Estimated Total Number of Establishments according to Location

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Within household (\%) | Outside household (\%) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 2.2 | 97.9 |
| 2 | Construction | 1.3 | 98.7 |
| 3 | Trade | 1.1 | 98.9 |
| 4 | Transport | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 5 | Education | 0.2 | 99.8 |
| 6 | Health | 0.5 | 99.5 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& | 5.1 | 94.9 |
| 8 | Restaurants | IT/ BPOs | 0.1 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 4.3 | 99.9 |
|  | Total |  | $\mathbf{1 . 7}$ |

Table 2.3 presents the percentage distribution of establishments as per their location for the selected nine sectors of the economy. Obviously, each row will add up to 100 . The last row i.e., the 'Total' row represents the percentage distribution of establishments for all the sectors combined. The data shows that $98.3 \%$ of the establishments were situated outside the household. About 95\% establishments from Accommodation \& Restaurants sector was the lowest in terms of location outside household. However, this particular sector had the highest share of $\mathbf{5 . 1 \%}$ establishments which were located within households. Establishments belonging to all other sectors have $95 \%$ or more share for location outside the household premises. Transport sector had no establishments located Within Household, closely followed by the IT/BPO sector, where only $0.1 \%$ units were located Within Household.

### 2.2.3. Distribution of Establishments by Usual Nature of

 OperationTable 2.4: Sector-wise Percentage Distribution of estimated Total Number of Establishments according to Usual Nature of Operation

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Perennial | Seasonal | Casual |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 95.1 | 3.9 | 1.0 |
| 2 | Construction | 95.5 | 2.0 | 2.5 |
| 3 | Trade | 99.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 4 | Transport | 98.3 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| 5 | Education | 99.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 6 | Health | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& | 97.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Restaurants | IT /BPOs | 98.7 | 0.0 | 1.3 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 94.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
|  |  | Total | $\mathbf{9 7 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ |

Table 2.4 shows the percentage distribution of establishments as per their usual Nature of Operation i.e., whether the establishments were usually Perennial, Seasonal or Casual in nature. At all sectors combined level, it can be seen that $97.3 \%$ of the establishments were Perennial in nature and only $1.8 \%$ were of Seasonal nature. The rest were casual establishments holding only $0.8 \%$ share (Figure 2.1).

Figure 2.1: Percentage Distribution of Estimated Total Number of Establishments according to Usual Nature of Operation


At sectoral level (from above Table 2.4), it is interesting to note that establishments belonging to Health sector are totally Perennial in nature. That means, there were no Seasonal or Casual establishments in health sector. Table 2.4 also reveals that majority of the Seasonal establishments (3.9\%) were from the Manufacturing sector. Similarly, share of Casual establishments in the Construction and Financial Services are the highest (2.5\%) in comparison to all other sectors.

### 2.2.4 Distribution of Estimated Establishments by Ownership

The percentage distribution of estimated establishments by ownership is depicted in figure 2.2 below.


The following Table 2.5 presents the percentage distribution of establishments by their type of ownership for the nine selected sectors. The 'Total' row represents the percentage distribution at all sectors combined level. It has been seen from the above figure 2.2 that the share of Proprietary establishments at combined level was the highest with $30.2 \%$ establishments, closely followed by Private Limited Company (21.6\%) and Government/PSU
(20.3\%). Percentage share of establishments under rest of the ownership categories are low in general, the lowest share (1\%) being observed for the ownership type: Autonomous Body. Percentage share of Establishments under 'Non-profit Institutions' are found to be significant for Education and Health sectors with $31.5 \%$ and $15.7 \%$ respectively. IT/BPOs sector had the most prominent presence in the Private Limited Companies (83.1\%) and there was no 'Non-Profit Institution' in Construction, Transport and IT/BPOs sectors. It is also interesting to note that there were sizable shares of 'Others including SHGs' in the establishments of Financial Services and Accommodation \& Restaurants sectors, i.e., $26.1 \%$ and $7 \%$ respectively. Majority of Financial Service units were under Government/PSU category (40.6\%). Presence of Govt./PSU units are also significant in Education (43\%), Transport (29.2\%) and Health sectors (24.1\%). Financial services sector has the highest share (12.4\%) of Cooperative Societies. Similarly, Education sector records the highest share ( $2.4 \%$ ) under the ownership category 'Autonomous Body'. It is also noted that there were no partnership establishments in the Financial Services sector.

Table 2.5: Sector-wise Percentage Distribution of Estimated Total Number of Establishments by Ownership

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Govt./ <br> PSU | Autonom <br> ous Body | Proprieta <br> ry | Partner <br> ship | Private <br> Limited <br> Company | Cooperative <br> Society | Non-profit <br> Institution | Others <br> including <br> SHGs |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 1.0 | 0.1 | 43.2 | 15.0 | 36.1 | 1.3 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 2 | Construction | 7.4 | 0.7 | 34.2 | 10.5 | 47.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3 | Trade | 1.7 | 0.2 | 50.8 | 12.4 | 31.1 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.1 |
| 4 | Transport | 29.2 | 0.1 | 24.3 | 6.6 | 39.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 5 | Education | 43.0 | 2.4 | 12.9 | 1.3 | 4.5 | 2.3 | 31.5 | 2.1 |
| 6 | Health | 24.1 | 0.1 | 32.2 | 9.6 | 15.3 | 1.4 | 15.7 | 1.6 |
| 7 | Accommodation <br> \& Restaurants | 1.8 | 0.1 | 61.7 | 10.8 | 16.4 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 7.0 |
| 8 | IT /BPOs | 1.1 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 3.1 | 83.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 9 | Financial <br> Services | 40.6 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 12.4 | 1.7 | 26.1 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ |

### 2.2.5. Distribution of Establishments by Size Class

Table 2.6: Sector-wise Percentage Distribution of Estimated Total Number of Establishments according to Different Size Classes of Employment

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Sectors | Size Classes of Employment (Number of Employees) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 10 | 10-39 | 40-99 | 100-199 | 200-499 | >500 |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 20.2 | 51.0 | 16.0 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 2.2 |
| 2 | Construction | 19.0 | 49.2 | 19.5 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| 3 | Trade | 25.1 | 54.8 | 14.7 | 3.8 | 1.2 | 0.4 |
| 4 | Transport | 21.5 | 50.6 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 4.2 | 2.7 |
| 5 | Education | 8.4 | 71.0 | 15.8 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| 6 | Health | 9.9 | 52.6 | 19.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 | 4.3 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& Restaurants | 25.1 | 63.7 | 8.9 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| 8 | IT / BPOs | 10.7 | 33.2 | 26.5 | 12.2 | 5.1 | 12.3 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 23.1 | 70.9 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.2 |
|  | Total | 16.6 | 60.4 | 14.7 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 1.4 |

Table 2.6 presents the percentage distribution of establishments over the six size classes for the nine selected sectors. At combined level (Total row), 60.4\% establishments are found to be concentrated in the size class with 1039 workers. While $16.6 \%$ and $14.7 \%$ establishments are found to be in the size classes of establishments with less than 10 workers and 40-99 workers respectively. Also, very few establishments have been found in the three higher size classes of establishments, namely, 100-199, 200 - 499 and more than 500 workers, their respective shares being $4.1 \%, 2.8 \%$ and $1.4 \%$.

At sectoral level, all the sectors showed around $50 \%$ of their establishments are in the size class of 10-39 workers except IT/BPOs. The IT/ BPOs sector recorded higher share of establishments in the size classes 40-99
workers ( $26.5 \%$ ), 100-199 workers ( $12.2 \%$ ) and more than 500 workers ( $12.3 \%$ ). Percentage shares of Education and Financial Services hold more than $70 \%$ in the size class 10 to 39 workers. In this size class (establishments with less than 10 workers) Education sector is holding the lowermost share ( $8.4 \%$ ). Accommodation \& Restaurants, Financial Services and Trade sectors have a meagre presence in the highest size class i.e., establishments with more than 500 workers with $0.2 \%, 0.2 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ respectively. For Financial services and Accommodation \& Restaurants sector, establishments are found mostly from the first two size classes viz. less than 10 workers and 10-39 workers. In the size class of establishments with 40-99 workers, highest share of establishments has been recorded by the IT/BPOs sector (26.5\%). In the size class of establishments with 200-499 workers, highest percentage share has been observed for Health sector (7.2\%).

Table 2.7: Sector-wise Percentage of Estimated Establishments Registered under Different Acts/ Authorities

| Sectors | Total Register ed (\%) | Shops \& commerci al <br> Establishments Act, 1958 | Compan ies Act, 1956 | Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017 | Factori es Act, 1948 | Societies Registrati on Act | Cooperati ve Societi es Act | Directorate of Industries | KVIC/ <br> KVIB/ <br> DC: <br> Handlo om/ <br> Handic <br> rafts | Act related to Building \& Construc tion | Other <br> Agenci es |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) |
| Manufacturing | 98.8 | 30.8 | 42.8 | 86.5 | 83.5 | 3.6 | 1.4 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 0.5 | 6.4 |
| Construction | 98.8 | 16.8 | 51.2 | 78.5 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 90.3 | 7.8 |
| Trade | 99.6 | 86.7 | 32.1 | 89.7 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 10.0 |
| Transport | 97.1 | 36.7 | 36.4 | 70.3 | 3.1 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 29.8 |
| Education | 98.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 10.0 | 0.2 | 55.4 | 3.3 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 71.0 |
| Health | 99.8 | 23.2 | 12.2 | 46.3 | 0.5 | 29.5 | 1.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 59.0 |
| Accommodation \& Restaurants | 99.0 | 77.0 | 14.5 | 76.3 | 1.8 | 12.1 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 5.0 | 0.4 | 21.8 |
| IT/ BPOs | 99.6 | 38.3 | 82.8 | 88.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Financial Services | 93.3 | 19.7 | 23.8 | 39.3 | 1.1 | 32.3 | 13.8 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 52.5 |
| Total | 98.4 | 27.8 | 23.5 | 53.9 | 28.2 | 25.4 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 36.4 |

Table 2.7 shows the percentage distribution of establishments according to the acts /authorities of their registration for the nine selected sectors. It may be noted that an establishment may be registered under a single or a multiple of the Acts/Authorities simultaneously. As such, the row totals may add up to more than 100. From the second column, an idea can be made about the dimension of the non-farm establishments which were registered in each of the sectors. At combined level (total row), it is seen that $98.4 \%$ establishments were registered.

Of those registered establishments, $53.9 \%$ were registered under the GST Act, $2017 ; 28.2 \%, 27.8 \%, 25.4 \%$ and $23.5 \%$ were registered respectively under Factories Act, 1948; Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1958; Societies Registration Act and Companies Act, 1956. There were 36.4\% establishments which were registered under 'Other agencies.' Percentages of establishments registered under the remaining Act/Authorities are very small.

At sectoral level, $90.3 \%$ of the Construction establishments were registered under one Act viz. 'Act Related to Building \& Construction'. No establishment of IT/BPOs sector was registered under Co-operative Societies Act, KVIB/KVIC or Building and Construction Act. For Health, Education and Financial Services sectors, majority of the establishments were registered under 'Other agencies.' For manufacturing sector high percentage of establishments were registered under GST Act, 2017 (86.5\%) and Factories Act, 1948 (83.5\%). Establishments of Trade sector were mostly registered under two Acts viz. Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1958 (86.7\%) and GST Act, 2017 (89.7\%). Education sector recorded the least share under GST Act, 2017 (10\% only). In the Health sector, almost all the establishments were registered (99.8\%) of which 46.3\% were registered under GST Act, 2017 and 59\% were registered under 'Other agencies.' Establishments from IT/BPOs were registered mainly under three acts viz. GST Act, 2017 (88\%), Companies Act, 1956 (82.8\%) and Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1958 (38.3\%). Education establishments were mainly registered under Societies Registration Act (55.4\%) and ‘Other Agencies’ (71\%).

Table 2.8: Sector-wise Percentage of Estimated Total Number of Establishments Registered under MSME Development Act/Udyam portal.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Sectors | Estimated Total <br> Number of Establishments | Establishments Registered under MSME Development Act (\%) | Establishments Registered Under MSME Development Act through Udyam portal (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 1,73,127 | 34.6 | 90.3 |
| 2 | Construction | 8,411 | 10.2 | 93.2 |
| 3 | Trade | 49,987 | 2.2 | 87.8 |
| 4 | Transport | 11,950 | 7.3 | 95.5 |
| 5 | Education | 1,77,962 | 0.4 | 100.0 |
| 6 | Health | 25,938 | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& Restaurants | 32,026 | 3.1 | 84.2 |
| 8 | IT / BPOs | 4,544 | 5.7 | 97.2 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 42,462 | 0.9 | 73.2 |
|  | Total | 5,28,528 | 12.4 | 90.4 |

Table 2.8 presents the estimated number of establishments for each sector and the share of registered establishments under MSME Development Act. Column 3 of the table 2.8 shows the percentages of establishments registered under MSME Development Act through UDYAM portal. It may be seen that about $34.6 \%$ of the total number of Manufacturing establishments (1.73 lakhs) were registered under MSME Development Act and more than 90\% were registered through UDYAM Portal. For Health sector with0.25 lakh units and Education sector with 1.77 lakh units, only $2.1 \%$ and $0.4 \%$ establishments (respectively) were registered under MSME Development Act, but all of them were registered through UDYAM Portal. In Financial services sector, one can see that only $0.9 \%$ was registered under MSME Development Act and more than $26.8 \%$ of those were not registered through UDYAM portal. In the case of Transport sector, only $7.3 \%$ establishments were registered under the MSME Development Act, out of which 95.5\% were registered through UDYAM portal. At all-sectors combined level, $12.4 \%$ units were
registered under the MSME Development Act and 90.4\% of those units were registered under MSME Development Act through the UDYAM portal.

### 2.3 Employment Estimates in the Selected Sectors

This section discusses about the estimated employment details sector wise, by type of employment (self-employed, employee); terms of employment (regular, contractual, fixed term employee, casual); gender (male, female); full time \& part time, etc.

### 2.3.1. Sector-wise Distribution of Estimated Workers



Figure 2.3 shows percentage distribution of estimated employment in the nine selected sectors. It can be seen that the highest percentage share of estimated workers was in Manufacturing (39.1\%), followed by Education (22\%). The least percentage share of estimated workers was in the Construction Sector (2\%).

### 2.3.2. Gender-wise estimated employment share

Gender perspective of estimated employment in these nine major sectors is vital to understand the employment dynamics. The male workers constituted $67.9 \%$ of the total workers and the female workers constituted $\mathbf{3 2 . 1} \%$ of the total estimated workers. The following Figure 2.4 shows us the gender wise share of total employment.


Table 2.9: Sector-wise percentage distribution of estimated total number of workers according to different size classes of employment

| Sl. No. | Sectors | Size Classes of Employment (Number of Employees) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than 10 | 10-39 | 40-99 | 100-199 | 200-499 | >=500 |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 1.8 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 10.9 | 21.5 | 37.7 |
| 2 | Construction | 1.4 | 13.9 | 16.9 | 10.4 | 11.8 | 45.6 |
| 3 | Trade | 4.6 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 16.4 | 9.9 | 12.4 |
| 4 | Transport | 1.0 | 8.2 | 6.6 | 8.3 | 11.4 | 64.6 |
| 5 | Education | 1.6 | 38.5 | 24.2 | 10.8 | 8.9 | 16.1 |
| 6 | Health | 0.5 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 17.8 | 57.1 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& Restaurants | 7.2 | 46.6 | 21.7 | 7.0 | 9.2 | 8.4 |
| 8 | IT / BPOs | 0.1 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 92.4 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 7.0 | 56.5 | 11.5 | 3.9 | 9.2 | 11.9 |
|  | Total | 1.8 | 20.1 | 15.0 | 9.4 | 14.3 | 39.4 |

Table 2.9 provides the percentage distribution of total number of workers over the six size classes for the nine selected sectors. It may be seen that at combined level, majority of the employees were concentrated in two size classes, i.e., 500 or more workers and $10-39$ workers with shares $39.4 \%$ and $20.1 \%$ respectively. While the size classes of 40-99 workers and 200-499 workers had shares $15.0 \%$ and $14.3 \%$ employees, the other two size classes are holding the rest $11.2 \%$ only, which is much lower than other sectors.

At sectoral level, $92.4 \%$ of the employees of IT/BPOs were recorded from the size class of more than 500 workers. Lowest share in the same size class was from the Accommodation \& Restaurants sector ( $8.4 \%$ ). For the Transport sector, majority of the employees were from the size classes of 200-499 workers and more than 500 workers, their joint contribution being more than $75 \%$. Similarly, in the case of Health Sector, the major share lies in the two higher size classes (more than 74.9\%). Manufacturing sector had sizable share of employees in all the size classes except the size class $<10$ workers where it had its percentage share of employees as $1.8 \%$ only. IT/BPOs sector is holding a minimal percentage of employees from the size class of less than 10 employees ( $0.1 \%$ ). Most of the employees of IT/BPOs sector are from the highest size class, i.e., more than 500 employees only. This sector had very insignificant shares of employees in the other size classes. Most of the employees of Trade sector came from the three size classes viz. 10-39 workers, 40-99 workers and 100-199 workers, their combined share being $73.1 \%$ of total employees from the sector.

### 2.3.3. Sector-wise Types of Workers

As expected in the organised segment (comprising establishments with 10 or more workers) $98.05 \%$ of the total estimated employment is in the employees' category, while $1.95 \%$ is self-employed (Figure 2.5).


Table 2.10 shows the percentage distribution of estimated workers by employment type (Self-employed and Employees) and by gender. It may be noted that for the sub-heading, percentage of total workers by gender (last but two columns) 'Total' column has been avoided, as the 'Total' will always add up to 100. It may also be noted that 'Total percentage' of self-employed (Column 5) and Employees (Column 8) will also add up to 100 for this table.

Table 2.10: Sector-wise Percentage Distribution of Total Number of Estimated Workers according to Type of Worker and Gender

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Sectors | Self-Employed |  |  | Employees |  |  | Total Workers |  | Estd. Total Workers (Nos.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | Total | M | F | Total | M | F |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 1.6 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 75.7 | 22.1 | 97.8 | 77.4 | 22.6 | 1,21,40,949 |
| 2 | Construction | 1.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 | 82.1 | 16.4 | 98.5 | 83.5 | 16.5 | 6,07,013 |
| 3 | Trade | 3.3 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 73.2 | 22.7 | 95.9 | 76.4 | 23.6 | 16,45,934 |
| 4 | Transport | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 85.7 | 13.7 | 99.4 | 86.3 | 13.7 | 14,44,140 |
| 5 | Education | 1.0 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 54.3 | 44.6 | 98.9 | 55.2 | 44.8 | 68,47,572 |
| 6 | Health | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 48.5 | 50.7 | 99.2 | 49.2 | 50.8 | 33,45,206 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& Restaurants | 4.3 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 72.3 | 20.2 | 92.5 | 76.5 | 23.5 | 7,77,437 |
| 8 | IT /BPOs | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 62.3 | 37.6 | 99.9 | 62.4 | 37.6 | 33,21,288 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 1.1 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 60.4 | 31.1 | 91.5 | 61.6 | 38.4 | 8,73,336 |
|  | Total | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.95 | 66.6 | 31.5 | 98.05 | 67.9 | 32.1 | 3,10,63,938 |

The data reveals that proportion of self-employed (1.95\%) is minuscule in comparison to the proportion of Employees (98.05\%) in the 3.10 crore workers at combined level. Among the Self-employed, Financial Services is the only sector where presence of females was more than males. For IT/BPOs sector, there was no Self-employed female employee. For Employees, interestingly female share exceeds the male employee share in Health sector as $50.7 \%$ of female employee share against 48.5\% male Employees were observed. In the transport sector, proportion of female workers is significantly lower as compared to other sectors. Presence of female Employees is found to be substantial in Health (50.7\%), Education (44.6\%), IT/BPOs (37.6\%) and Financial Services (31.1\%). Out of the total 3.10 crore employees at the
combined level, 1.21 crores were from the Manufacturing sector only, where $77.4 \%$ employees happened to be males.

### 2.3.4. Sector-wise Estimated Workers according to terms of Employment

Following Table 2.11 presents the percentage distribution of employees as per five different terms of employment, for each selected sector. The terms are: a) Self-employed-the owners who work in their own establishments, b) Regular employee-employees who are not on contract, c) Contractual employee-employees appointed on contract through some agencies, d) Fixed term employee- employees appointed by the establishment for a fixed period, and e) Casual employee- employees who are engaged by establishment from time to time for casual work. At the combined level of all sectors (Total row), $87 \%$ of the workers are found to be Regular workers, followed by Contractual employees (8.3\%), Self-employed (2\%) and Casual employees (2.1\%). The share of Fixed term employees in the establishments was found to be very small (0.6\%) in general.

At sectoral level, apart from the Regular employees, reasonably high percentage of Self-employed employees are observed in the Financial Services sector ( $8.5 \%$ ), followed by Accommodation \& Restaurants sector (7.5\%). The share of self-employed was the least in the IT/BPOs sector with only $0.1 \%$. Regular employees were relatively low in Construction sector (71.6\%). Maximum share of Regular employees was recorded by the IT/BPOs sector (97.7\%). Contractual employees are significantly present in two sectors, Construction (20\%) and Manufacturing (11.5\%). Fixed term employees have a very low share in all the sectors. Majority among them is being seen in the Education and Accommodation\& Restaurants sectors with $1.0 \%$ and $0.9 \%$ shares respectively. Percentage Share of Casual employees is the highest in Construction sector (6.4\%).

Table 2.11: Sector-wise Percentage Distribution of Total Number of Estimated Workers according to Different Terms of Employment

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Self- <br> Employed | Regular <br> (Not on <br> contract) <br> Employee | Contractu <br> al <br> Employee | Fixed <br> Term <br> Employee | Casual <br> Employee |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 2.2 | 82.0 | 11.5 | 0.6 | 3.7 |
| 2 | Construction | 1.6 | 71.6 | 20.0 | 0.6 | 6.4 |
| 3 | Trade | 4.1 | 90.4 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 1.6 |
| 4 | Transport | 0.6 | 91.8 | 6.3 | 0.2 | 1.1 |
| 5 | Education | 1.1 | 90.1 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 0.6 |
| 6 | Health | 0.8 | 89.4 | 8.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| 7 | Accommodation <br> \& Restaurants | 7.5 | 82.4 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| 8 | IT /BPOs | 0.1 | 97.7 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 9 | Financial <br> Services | 8.5 | 85.9 | 2.1 | 0.3 | 3.2 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ |

### 2.3.5 Sector wise Education Details of Estimated Workers

Education and training level of the estimated workers are one of the main indicators to know the labour market situation of the country and it will help to formulate inclusive policies towards labour welfare.

Table 2.12 shows the estimated number of workers (in lakhs) as per their education level. This table has been presented for 7 sectors, not including the workers of Education and Health sectors for which a separate table has been provided. From the combined result of the 7 sectors, it is seen that there were 77.2 lakh workers who were Graduate and above, 64.5 lakh were under graduate and 59.2 lakh were Matric/ Secondary or below. There were 7.9 lakh workers for whom the educational level could not be ascertained.

In the IT/ BPOs sector, 30.4 lakh workers were Graduate and above while in the Manufacturing sector there were 27.4 lakh workers with qualification Graduate and above. Also, 45.6 lakh workers in Manufacturing sector were having Matric/Secondary or below education level and 42.1 lakh workers were Under-graduates. In the Trade sector 5 lakh workers were found to be Graduate and above while 4.9 lakh were below secondary level. Number
of workers with Matric or below qualification is found to be the least in IT/BPOs sector (only 80,000). In the Financial services sector, number of workers with Graduate and above qualification was about 5.2 lakhs. Maximum number of workers for whom the Education level could not be ascertained has been shown by the Manufacturing sector ( 6.4 lakhs).

## Table 2.12: Sector-wise estimated Number of Workers according to Education Levels except Health \& Education (in Lakhs)

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Matric/ <br> Secondary <br> or below | Above matric/ <br> Secondary but <br> Under <br> Graduate | Graduate or <br> above | Not known |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Manufacturing | $45.6(37.5 \%)$ | $42.1(34.7 \%)$ | $27.4(22.6 \%)$ | $6.4(5.3 \%)$ |
| 2 | Construction | $1.6(26.7 \%)$ | $2.1(35 \%)$ | $2.1(35 \%)$ | $0.2(3.3 \%)$ |
| 3 | Trade | $4.9(29.7 \%)$ | $6.2(37.6 \%)$ | $5(30.3 \%)$ | $0.4(2.4 \%)$ |
| 4 | Transport | $2.3(16 \%)$ | $6.7(46.5 \%)$ | $5.1(35.4 \%)$ | $0.3(2.1 \%)$ |
| 5 | Accommodation <br> \& Restaurants | $2.3(29.5 \%)$ | $3.4(43.6 \%)$ | $1.9(24.4 \%)$ | $0.2(2.6 \%)$ |
| 6 | IT /BPOs | $0.8(2.4 \%)$ | $1.8(5.4 \%)$ | $30.4(91.6 \%)$ | $0.2(0.6 \%)$ |
| 7 | Financial <br> Services <br> Total | $1.4(16.1 \%)$ | $1.9(21.8 \%)$ | 5.2 (59.8\%) | $0.2(2.3 \%)$ |
|  | $\mathbf{5 9 . 2}(\mathbf{2 8 . 4 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 5}(\mathbf{3 0 . 9 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{7 7 . 2}(\mathbf{3 7 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 9}$ (3.8\%) |  |

Table 2.13: Distribution of Estimated Number of Non-Clinical staff in Health sector \& Non-teaching staff in Education Sector (in Lakhs) according to their Education Level

| Sl. <br> No. | Sectors | Matric/ <br> Secondary or <br> below | Above matric/ <br> Secondary <br> but Under <br> Graduate | Graduate or <br> above | Not known |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Education | $4.6(26.4 \%)$ | $4.6(26.4 \%)$ | $7.8(44.8 \%)$ | $0.4(2.3 \%)$ |
| 2 | Health | $1.8(18.8 \%)$ | $3.3(34.4 \%)$ | $4.2(43.8 \%)$ | $0.3(3.1 \%)$ |

Table 2.13 throws up the estimated number (in lakhs) of non-clinical and non-teaching staff respectively for Health and Education sectors by their education level. The table is self-explanatory. However, it may be pointed out that in these two sectors, there were around 70,000 staff (Non-clinical for

Health sector and Non-teaching for Education sector) for whom education level could not be ascertained.

### 2.3.6. Skill Development of the Workers

The following Table 2.14 shows the percentage of establishments for each sector providing skill training to their staff. It can be seen that at all-sector combined level (Total row) 16.8\% establishments provided skilled training. At sectoral level, Health sector was most prominent where $26.6 \%$ establishments provided skilled training, closely followed by IT/BPOs sector (24.1\%). The next two columns (column $4 \&$ column 5) show disaggregation of establishments providing skill training by location i.e., in house training or training in other establishments. It has been seen that in IT/BPOs sector, maximum proportion of establishments (96.6\%) arranged training inhouse, whereas in the financial services sector only 73.7\% establishments arranged the training in-house. At combined level, 81.7\% establishments were found to be providing training within establishment.

The next three columns (Column 6,7 \&8) provide an account of percentages of establishments that provided skill training to: their staff only, others, or both. Establishments providing training to 'Staff only' is high in general, among them the highest proportion (85.8\%) is observed for the Accommodation \& Restaurants and lowest (57.5\%) for the Financial Services. Establishments belonging to three sectors viz. Transport, Health and Accommodation \& Restaurants have not provided any skill training to 'Others'.

Table 2.14: Sector-wise Percentage of Estimated Establishments Providing Skill Training

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Sectors | Percentage of <br> Establishm ents providing Skill Training | Among the Establishments providing Skill Training, location of training |  | Skill Development Program Conducted for (in \%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Within <br> Establis hment | In some other Establish ment | Staff only | Others | Both |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 13.2 | 87.9 | 12.1 | 79.1 | 3.3 | 5.5 |
| 2 | Construction | 7.8 | 77.6 | 22.4 | 70.5 | 5.8 | 1.3 |
| 3 | Trade | 11.6 | 78.8 | 21.2 | 74.6 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| 4 | Transport | 10.7 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 82.2 | 0.0 | 5.3 |
| 5 | Education | 21.0 | 78.8 | 21.2 | 72.8 | 2.2 | 3.8 |
| 6 | Health | 26.6 | 87.0 | 13.0 | 79.6 | 0.0 | 7.3 |
| 7 | Accommodation <br> \& Restaurants | 11.3 | 85.8 | 14.2 | 85.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| 8 | IT /BPOs | 24.1 | 96.6 | 3.4 | 79.4 | 1.6 | 15.6 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 20.9 | 73.7 | 26.3 | 57.5 | 5.5 | 10.8 |
|  | Total | 16.8 | 81.7 | 18.3 | 74.1 | 2.5 | 5.1 |



The above Figure 2.6 presents the percentage share of establishments for each of the selected sectors that provided On-the-Job Training (OJT) to their employees. The figure is self-explanatory. However, the following points may be worth mentioned. A major proportion of units from Health sector (36.6\%) and a very small proportion of units (15.6\%) from Accommodation \& Restaurants sector provided OJT Training. At combined level, 24.3\% of establishments were found to have arranged for OJT, which is near the share recorded by the Education sector (24.7\%).

### 2.3.7. Sector-wise Information on Vacancies

The following Table 2.15 presents the percentages of establishments reporting vacancies, total vacancies and percentage distribution of the vacancies by reasons, for each of the nine selected sectors. It may be noted that the last three columns of the table will add up to 100 . For all sectors combined, it is seen that $5.6 \%$ of the total establishments reported about vacancies. In absolute terms, number of vacancies in all the establishments taken together was 4.3 lakhs. In $65.8 \%$ cases for these vacancies, the reason was non-specific (i.e., Others). About $23 \%$ vacancies happened due to Resignation and remaining $\mathbf{1 1 . 7} \%$ vacancies occurred due to Retirement of employees.

Total $7.6 \%$ of Education Establishments and $6.6 \%$ of IT/BPOs were prominent in reporting vacancies. Four sectors, viz. Education, Manufacturing, IT/BPOs and Health accounted for $91 \%$ of the reported vacancies. While looking at the percentage distribution of reasons for vacancies, it is noted that in IT/BPOs and Health sectors, very few vacancies were caused by either Resignation or by Retirement. Majority of vacancies in these two sectors happened due to other reasons $(85.7 \%$ and $81.6 \%$ respectively). However, major reason for vacancies in Financial Services was Retirement (58.4\%). While the highest percentage with $54.6 \%$ cases of vacancies due to Resignation was observed in the Construction sector, lowest percentage of vacancies due to Retirement was observed in the Accommodation \& Restaurants sector with only 4.7\% cases.

Table 2.15: Sector-wise Percentage of Estimated Total Number of Establishments Reporting Vacancies and Distribution of Vacancies by Reasons

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Sectors | Percentage of Establishments Reported Vacancies | Total vacancies (in Nos.) | Reasons of Vacancies (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Resignation | Retirement | Others |
| 1 | Manufacturing | 5.0 | 104638 | 40.4 | 17.8 | 41.8 |
| 2 | Construction | 4.4 | 6810 | 54.6 | 24.4 | 21.1 |
| 3 | Trade | 4.7 | 12472 | 48.3 | 23.6 | 28.1 |
| 4 | Transport | 4.1 | 3643 | 21.7 | 15.0 | 63.3 |
| 5 | Education | 7.6 | 149693 | 17.8 | 9.7 | 72.5 |
| 6 | Health | 5.1 | 53952 | 9.4 | 9.0 | 81.6 |
| 7 | Accommodation \& Restaurants | 4.8 | 11231 | 42.1 | 4.7 | 53.3 |
| 8 | IT / BPOs | 6.6 | 87833 | 8.3 | 6.0 | 85.7 |
| 9 | Financial Services | 2.6 | 2428 | 31.8 | 58.4 | 9.8 |
|  | Total | 5.6 | 432814 | 22.5 | 11.7 | 65.8 |



Above Figure 2.7 shows the percentage distribution of reasons for not filling up the vacancies reported in the Table 2.15. As already discussed in the previous table 2.15 , there were total 4.3 lakhs vacancies at combined level, majority ( $75.5 \%$ ) of which was in the process of being filled up. While about $12 \%$ and $9 \%$ vacancies remained un-filled due to the reasons: 'No felt need now' and 'Non-availability of Skilled Workers' respectively, the rest of the vacancies remained as they were due to 'Other reasons.'

At sectoral level, it is seen that for IT/BPOs, $99.5 \%$ of total 0.87 lakh vacancies are 'In the Process' of being filled up and the remaining 0.5\% remained for either not getting requisite skilled workers or need not felt. On the other hand, in Financial Services, important reasons for not filling up the vacancies were either they were 'In the Process' (66.3\%) or 'No need felt now'(33.7\%). Highest proportion (9.9\%) of vacancies not filling up for some 'Other Reasons' is recorded for Transport sector, where $71.8 \%$ vacancies were 'In the Process' to be filled up. Non-availability of Skilled Workers was the prime reason for not filling up the vacancies in the sectors Construction (24.5\%), Trade (24.7\%) and Manufacturing (19.5\%). After IT/ BPOs sector (99.5\%), Education and Health sectors were found to be the next two sectors who were 'In the Process' of filling up $83.9 \%$ and $81.2 \%$ of their total vacancies respectively. Construction and Financial Services sectors had kept maximum proportion ( $37.6 \%$ and $33.7 \%$ respectively) of their vacancies unfilled due to 'Need not Felt'.

## Concepts and Definitions

The important concepts and definitions adopted for the Quarterly Employment Survey (QES) are explained below:

## A1.1 Enterprise

Enterprise is an undertaking engaged in production and/or distribution of goods and/or services other than for the sole purpose of own consumption, which has autonomy in respect of financial and investment decision making, as well as the authority for allocating resources for production of goods and services. It may be engaged in one or more economic activities at one or more locations. It is a sole legal unit. Thus, an enterprise having a few branches located in different places, each branch including headquarter (main office) is to be listed separately as an establishment.

## A1.2 Establishment/ Unit

The establishment is a unit situated in a single location in which predominantly one kind of economic activity is carried out such that at least a part of the goods and/or services produced by the unit goes for sale. The establishment is a physical entity where mainly one entrepreneurial activity (or sometimes more than one, with no separate accounts) is carried out.

## A1.3 Non-Agricultural/Non- Farm establishment

Establishments engaged in activities other than agricultural activities (like crop production \& plantation, growing of tea, coffee, rubber, tobacco etc.) are termed as Non-farm establishments.

## A1.4 Non-farm Sector

Goods or services producing segment of the economy other than farm activities is non-farm Sector. For this survey, non-farm sector includes Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \&Restaurants, IT/BPOs and Financial Service sector.

## A1.5 Employment/Worker

Employment in this survey is the number of persons Self-employed, employed either directly by the establishment on regular/casual basis/contract basis or fixed term employment.

All persons engaged in an economic activity/establishment either as owner, members of the household working as co-owner or partner or helping the owner in running the establishment, whether hired or not. Besides regular and salaried employees, casual/daily wage labour would also be considered as workers for that establishment. For the present survey all paid and unpaid apprentices will also be regarded as workers. Persons, who despite their attachment to economic activity, abstained themselves from work for reason of illness, injury or other physical disability, bad weather, festivals, social or religious functions or other contingencies necessitating temporary absence from work, constitute workers.

## A1.6 Employee

Employee relates to the person engaged by the unit whether for wages or not, in work connected directly or indirectly with the process and includes all administrative, technical and clerical staff, supervision or management, as also labour in production/ services.

## A1.7 Self employed

Persons who operated their own establishment or were engaged independently in a profession or trade on own-account or with one or a few partners were deemed to be self-employed. The essential feature of the selfemployed is that they have autonomy (decide how, where and when to produce) and economic independence (in respect of choice of market, scale of operation and finance) for carrying out their operation.

## A1.8 Full Time worker

Full-time worker is a Self Employed or an Employee who works for a minimum number of hours as defined/agreed for a particular occupation in the establishment.

## A1.9 Part Time Worker

Part-time worker is a Self Employed or an employed person whose normal hours of work are less than those of comparable full-time workers".

## A1.10 Regular Worker

The person who has worked in non-farm enterprises and, in return, received salary or wages on a regular basis (i.e., not on the basis of daily or periodic renewal of work contract) is a regular worker. This category includes not only persons getting time wage but also persons receiving piece wage or salary and paid apprentices, both full time and part-time. Regular Worker are employees appointed on an open-ended contract with no stipulated termination date, and who are entitled to benefits such as paid leave and medical aid contributions paid by employers. This excludes self- employed.

## A1.11 Contract Worker

As per the definition of contract worker, a worker is deemed to be employed as contract worker when he/she is hired in connection with the work of an establishment by or through a contractor. Contract workmen are indirect employees; persons who are hired, supervised and remunerated by a contractor who, in turn is compensated by the establishment.

## A1.12 Casual Worker

A person, who is casually engaged in non-farm enterprises and, in return, received wages according to the terms of the daily or periodic work contract, is a casual worker. This Category of Workers excludes Regular and Contract Worker.

## A1.13 Fixed Term employment

For the purpose of present survey, the term Fixed Term Workers includes workers whose work are governed by a contract agreement either in writing or oral directly by the establishment, without involvement of the third party.

## A1.14 Formal Skill Development and On-the-Job Training

Under the present survey, the information on formal skill development programme conducted by the establishments and 'On the Job' Training has been collected separately, in view of the fact that former involves an element of certification of skill development training imparted to its workers.

## A1.15 MSME

For the purpose of present survey, the definition of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises has been used as per the latest notification of M/o of MSME dated $1^{\text {st }}$ June, 2020.Under the current round of QES information has been collected on the current investment and turnover of the units and their registration status with UDYAM portal of MSME.

## Sampling Design and Estimation Procedure

## A2.1 Introduction

An Expert Group was constituted under the Chairmanship of Professor S.P. Mukherjee for examining and finalizing the coverage, sample size, assessing the resources required in terms of man-power, finance and finalization of Report for Quarterly Employment Survey (QES). Expert Group in a series of meetings discussed the various issues in details and finalized the sample size, sample design and survey methodology.

## A2.2 Unit of Enumeration

The unit of enumeration for the present round of QES is the establishments with 10 or more workers in the nine selected sectors viz. Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \& Restaurants, IT/ BPO and Financial Service activities.

## A2.3 Classification of Industry

All nine sectors viz. Manufacturing (NIC-2008; 10 to 33), Construction (NIC2008; 41, $42 \& 43$ ), Trade (NIC-2008; 45,46 \& 47), Transport (NIC-2008; 49 to 53), Education (NIC-2008; 85), Health (NIC-2008; 86,87 \& 88), Accommodation \& Restaurants (NIC-2008; 55 \& 56), IT/BPO (NIC-2008; 62 \& 63) and Financial Service activities (NIC-2008; 64, 65 \&66) are classified as per the National Industrial Classification (NIC)-2008 at two-digit level.

## A2.4 Sampling Frame

The sample needs to be a representative of establishment with 10 or more workers in nine sectors in India. The sampling frame used for present survey is all establishments with 10 or more workers in Sixth Economic Census (2012-13) in nine major sectors viz. Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \&Restaurants, IT/BPOs and Financial Service activities.

## A2.5 Reference Date

The second round QES covered whole of the Indian Union. Each quarterly employment survey covers a period of 3 months which is termed a round. The reference date in the most of the information items is the first day of the respective quarter during which the survey is conducted. For the present survey, the reference date is 1st July, 2021.

## A2.6 Sampling Design

For selecting a fixed Panel of 12000 units a Stratified Simple Random Sampling (Without Replacement) has been adopted for the new series of QES following the recommendation of the Expert Group. The new series of QES provides employment estimates for nine sectors viz. Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \& Restaurants, IT/BPOs and Financial Service sector selected on the basis of data of Sixth Economic Census (2012-13). The nine sectors account for around $85 \%$ of the total employment of units with 10 or more workers in the Sixth Economic Census.

## A2.7 Sample Size

The sample size for the survey was 12038 units. Each of the nine sectors, within a State, is further divided into six size classes based on the size of employment. Accordingly, number of units are being selected proportionately (Proportional allocation) to the number of units in the population (frame) in the respective strata viz. State by Sector by Size Class by using Simple Random Sampling (Without Replacement). Allotment of minimum of 10 sample units, at a sector level in each state (i.e., State X Sector), was ensured, further ensuring the proportional representation in each size class.

## A2.8 Stratification and selection of unit

Since the characteristics under study is mainly related to employment, which in turn is related to number of establishments, the stratification is done on the basis of size class (employment size of the establishment) and number of establishments. The sampling frame is divided within each state into nine strata
viz. Manufacturing, Construction, Trade, Transport, Education, Health, Accommodation \&Restaurants, IT/BPOs and Financial Service activities. Further, each stratum (sector) is divided into six substrata based on the size of employment (size classes 1 to 6) of an establishment viz. 10-39 workers, 40-99 workers, 100-499 workers, 500-999 workers, 1000-4999 workers and more than 4999 workers. Thus, the stratum/segment for the present sample design is State X Sector X Size Class. Accordingly, units were selected based on proportional allocation using simple random sampling (without replacement).

## A2.9 Estimation procedure:

## A2.9.1 Notations:

$\mathrm{i}=$ subscript for i -th State/UT [ $\mathrm{i}=1$ to 36]
$\mathrm{j}=$ subscript for j -th Sector $[\mathrm{j}=1$ to 9 ]
$\mathrm{k}=$ subscript for k -th Size Class [k=1 to 6]
$t=$ subscript for $t$ - $t$ h unit
$\mathrm{n}=$ originally selected sample size
$\mathrm{n} 2=$ effective sample size for level estimates of Q2
$\mathrm{N}=$ Total number of units in a particular stratum
$y=$ observed value of characteristic $y$ under estimation.
$Y=$ population total of $y$
$\hat{Y}=$ estimates of population total $Y$ for characteristics $y$.
$y^{c}=$ observed value of change $(Q 2-Q 1)$ in characteristic $y$ under estimation.
$\hat{Y}^{\mathrm{c}}=$ estimates of population total $\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{c}}$ for change characteristics $\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{c}}$
$\mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{i j k}}$ units are selected from $\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{i j k}}$ units in $\mathbf{i j k}$-th stratum with equal probability without replacement.

## A2.9.2 Estimation of level of employment in Q2:

(i) Estimate of Y for k -th Size Class in the j -th Sector of i -th State denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ is obtained as:

$$
\widehat{Y}_{i j k}=\frac{N_{i j k}}{n_{2 i j k}} \sum_{t=1}^{n_{i j k}} y_{i j k t}
$$

(ii) Estimate of Y for the j -th Sector of i -th State denoted by $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{is}$ given by

$$
\widehat{Y}_{i j}=\sum_{k} Y_{i j k}
$$

(iii) Overall estimate of Y at the all-India level for j -th Sector is obtained as

$$
\widehat{Y}_{j}=\sum_{i} Y_{i j}
$$

(iv) Overall estimate of Y at the all-India level for all Sectors is obtained by summing the stratum estimate over all strata belonging to all India:

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{Y}}=\sum_{j} \widehat{Y}_{j}=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} \sum_{k} \widehat{Y}_{i j k}
$$

A2.9.2.1The inflation factor or 'multiplier' associated with a unit for estimating total employment is given by $\frac{\boldsymbol{N}_{\boldsymbol{i j k}}}{\boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{2 i j k}}}$

## A2.9.3 Estimation of a ratio:

The ratio R of two estimates $\hat{Y}$ and $\hat{X}$ will be estimated as

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{R}}=\frac{\widehat{\boldsymbol{Y}}}{\widehat{X}}
$$

Description of Activity as per NIC-2008 code at 2-Digit Level

| Nine Sectors of QES | NIC 2- <br> digit <br> level <br> Code | Descriptions of the Activities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing | 10 | Manufacture of food products |
|  | 11 | Manufacture of beverages |
|  | 12 | Manufacture of tobacco products |
|  | 13 | Manufacture of textiles |
|  | 14 | Manufacture of wearing apparel |
|  | 15 | Manufacture of leather and related products |
|  | 16 | Manufacture of wood and products of wood and cork, except furniture; |
|  | 17 | Manufacture of paper and paper products |
|  | 18 | Printing and reproduction of recorded media |
|  | 19 | Manufacture of coke and refined petroleum products |
|  | 20 | Manufacture of chemicals and chemical products |
|  | 21 | Manufacture of pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products |
|  | 22 | Manufacture of rubber and plastics products |
|  | 23 | Manufacture of other non-metallic mineral products |
|  | 24 | Manufacture of basic metals |
|  | 25 | Manufacture of fabricated metal products, except machinery and equipment |
|  | 26 | Manufacture of computer, electronic and optical products |
|  | 27 | Manufacture of electrical equipment |
|  | 28 | Manufacture of machinery and equipment n.e.c. |
|  | 29 | Manufacture of motor vehicles, trailers and semitrailers |
|  | 30 | Manufacture of other transport equipment |
|  | 31 | Manufacture of furniture |
|  | 32 | Other manufacturing |
|  | 33 | Repair and installation of machinery and equipment |
| Construction | 41 | Construction of buildings |
|  | 42 | Civil engineering |
|  | 43 | Specialized construction activities |
| Trade | 45 | Wholesale and retail trade and repair of motor vehicles and motorcycles |
|  | 46 | Wholesale trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles |
|  | 47 | Retail trade, except of motor vehicles and motorcycles |
| Transport | 49 | Land transport and transport via pipelines |
|  | 50 | Water transport |
|  | 51 | Air transport |
|  | 52 | Warehousing and support activities for transportation |
|  | 53 | Postal and courier activities |


| Accommodation <br> \& Restaurants | 55 | Accommodation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IT/ BPO | 56 | Food and beverage service activities |
|  | 62 | Computer programming, consultancy and related <br> activities |
|  | 63 | Information service activities |
|  | 64 | Financial service activities, except insurance and <br> pension funding |
| Education | 65 | Insurance, reinsurance and pension funding, except <br> compulsory social |
| Health | 86 | Other financial activities |
|  | 86 | Education |
|  | 87 | Human health activities |
|  | 88 | Residential care activities |

ANNEXURE-IV

| Round |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Original | Substituted |
| :---: | :---: |

## Government of India <br> Ministry of Labour\& Employment <br> Labour Bureau <br> Quarterly Employment Survey (QES)

## BLOCK I. Identification Particulars

1. Establishment
1.1. Name of the establishment
1.2. Address of the establishment

1.3. Name of the Owner (Department name, in case of Government/Public Sector Undertaking (PSU))

1.4. Location (Within HH-1, Outside HH with fixed structure- 2, Outside HH without fixed structure-3 )
1.5. Whether the name and address is same as in the frame (Yes-1, No-2)
1.5.1 If No in item 1.5, the new Name of Establishment (if any)

1.5.2 If No in item 1.5, the new Address of Establishment (if any)

2. Sample Serial No. (copy from sample list)

3. NIC code 2008 as per Frame (3-digit level)
(copy from sample list)

4. Description of the Economic Activity

5. Description of goods produced/traded or services provided

6. NIC code 2008 at the time of visit (3digit level)

7. Person contacted
7.1. Name \& Designation $\square$
7.2. E-mail
7.3. Mobile number
7.4. Landline Phone number

8. Survey Type: ( Original - 1, Substituted - 2)
8.1 In case of Substitution, the reasons thereof: (Code: Unit not in existence-1, Unit locked out for some time /temporary shutdown-2,

Access denied -3, Adequate co-operation not extended-4, Unit closed-5, Others 9) Specify $\square$
9. Whether the establishment is:
(Code: An Enterprise by itself-1, Main Office of an enterprise-2, Unit/ Branch of an enter. 3)
9.1. In case of code 3 in item 9 , address of Main Office $\qquad$

## BLOCK II. Particulars of Establishments

1. Usual Nature of Operation (perennial - 1, seasonal - 2, casual -3)

2. Current Operational Status (fully -1, partly - 2) $\square$
3. Ownership Code $\square$
4. Registration Information: whether the Unit is registered or not (Yes -1, No -2)

5. If Yes, then enter the registration information using codes (Yes-1, No-2)
5.1 Shops and Commercial Establishments Act
5.2 Companies Act, 1956
5.3 Central Excise/Sales Tax Act
5.4 Factories Act, 1948
5.5 Societies Registration Act
5.6 Co-operative Societies Act
5.7 Directorate of Industries
5.8 KVIC/KVIB/DC: Handloom/Handicrafts
5.9 Act related to Building \& Construction
5.10 Registered with other agencies, Specify $\qquad$

6. Year Since Operational under current ownership

7. No. of Shifts in Operation

Codes for Ownership: item 3
Government / PSU ..... 1
Autonomous Body ..... 2
Proprietary ..... 3
Partnership ..... 4
Private Limited Company ..... 5
Cooperative Society ..... 6
Non-Profit Institution ..... 7
Others including Self Help Group, etc. ..... 9

## BLOCK III. Employment and Vacancies Details:

1. Do you maintain any record of employment in your unit?

(Yes-1, No-2)
2. Total Employment (As on $1^{\text {st }}$ day of the respective quarter)

3. Details of employment (As on $1^{\text {st }}$ day of the respective quarter)

| Sl. | Category | Full Time |  | Part Time |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | No. |  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| 3.1 | Self Employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2 | Employee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2 .1 | Regular (not on contract) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2.2 | Contract |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2.3 | Fixed Term Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2.4 | Casual |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3.2.5 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

4. Does any worker(s) from your unit undergo a Formal Skill

Development Programme? (Yes-1; No-2).
4.1 If Yes-1, the place of the Skill Development Training
(Within the establishment itself-1, In some other establishment-2)

4.2 If code 1 in item 4.1, is establishment is conducting formal skill development for (their staff only-1, for others-2, for staff of their unit $\mathcal{E}$ others-3)

5. Is any On the Job Training (OJT) conducted in your unit? (Yes-1, No-2)


## 6. Information about MSME status of Establishment/Units

6.1. Is your enterprise registered under MSME Act (Yes-1, No-2)

6.2. If Yes-1 in item 6.1, whether it is registered with Udyam portal (Yes-1, No-2) $\square$
6.3. If Yes-1 in item 6.1, the amount of current investment in Plant \& Machinery or equipment (in Rs. crores)
$($ less than 1$)-1,(1$ to less than 10$)-2,(10$ to less than 50$)-3$,
(more than 50)-4
6.4 If Yes-1 in item 6.1, The value of annual turnover of the unit (in Rs. crores)
(less than 5$)-1,(5$ to less than 50$)-2,(50$ to less than 250$)-3$, (more than 250$)-4$


## 7. Details of Vacancies/Recruitment

| 7.1 | Number of Current Vacancies (as on 1st day of current quarter) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Number of Vacancies due to: |  |
|  | 7.1.1 Resignation | 7.1.2 Retirement |
|  | 7.1.3 Others (please specify) |  |
|  | Number of Vacancies not filled up due to (as on 1st day of current <br> quarter) |  |
|  | 7.2.1 Number of Vacancies in the process of being filled up |  |
|  | 7.2.2Number of Vacancies due to Non-availability of the Requisite <br> Skilled Workers |  |
|  | 7.2 .3 Not felt need now |  |
|  | 7.2 .4 Others (please specify)_-_ |  |
| 7.3 | Number of posts created during the preceding Quarter |  |
| 7.4 | Number of persons recruited during the preceding Quarter |  |
| 7.5 | Number of posts withdrawn/lapsed during the preceding Quarter |  |
| 7.6 | Number of persons retrenched during the preceding Quarter |  |

8. Education and Training details of the Employed (As on 1st day of the respective quarter) (excluding Health and Education sector)

| Sl. <br> No. | Employment Status | Total Employment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Matric/ <br> Secondary <br> or below | Above <br> Matric/Secondary <br> but Under Graduate | Graduate <br> or above | Not <br> known | Total |
| $\mathbf{8 . 1}$ | Self Employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 . 2}$ | Regular(not on contract) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.3 | Contract |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 . 4}$ | Fixed Term <br> Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 | Casual |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{8 . 6}$ | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

9. Employment Details of Health Sector for the Quarter (As on $1^{\text {st }}$ day of the respective quarter)

| 9.1 | Total Employment (to be copied from Block III - item 2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.2 | Clinical |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.2.1 | Medical |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.2.2 | Nursing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.2.3 | Paramedical |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3 | Non-Clinical | Matric/ Secondary or below | Above <br> Matric/Secondary but Under Graduate | $\begin{gathered} \text { Graduat } \\ \text { e or } \end{gathered}$ above | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { known } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| 9.3.1 | Self Employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3.2 | Regular (not on contract) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3.3 | Contract |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3.4 | Fixed Term Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3.5 | Casual |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.3.6 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

10. Employment Details of Education Sector for the Quarter (As on $1^{\text {st }}$ day of the respective quarter)

| 10.1 | Total Employment (to be copied from Block III - item 2) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.2 | Teaching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3 | Non-Teaching |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.1 | Librarian |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.2 | Lab Technician |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.3 | Others | Matric/ Secondary or below | Above <br> Matric/Secondary but Under Graduate | Graduate or above | $\begin{gathered} \text { Not } \\ \text { known } \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| 10.3.3.1 | Self Employed |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.3.2 | Regular (not on contract) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.3.3 | Contract |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.3.4 | Fixed Term Employment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.3.5 | Casual |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.3.3.6 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |

## BLOCK IV. Social Security Benefits

| Sl. <br> No. | Social security Schemes | Whether applicable <br> (yes - 1, no - 2, <br> not known-3) | If Yes, number of <br> employees <br> Covered |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | The Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 |  |  |
| 2 | The Employees' Provident Funds \& Miscellaneous <br> Provisions Act, 1952 (EPF \& MP Act) |  |  |
| 3 | The Employees' Compensation Act, 1923 |  |  |
| 4 | The Maternity Benefit Act, 1961 (M.B. Act) |  |  |
| 5 | The Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972 |  |  |
| 6 | Payment of Bonus Act, 1965 |  |  |
| 7 | Others <br> (Specify |  |  |

## BLOCK V. Particulars of Field Operations

| Name of the Investigator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contact No./Mobile |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Visit | D | D | M | M | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Signature of the Investigator |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name of the Supervisor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Signature of the Supervisor with date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Scrutiny/inspection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name of the Officer in charge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## BLOCK VI. Comments by Investigator

$\square$
BLOCK VII. Comments by Supervisor
$\square$

# ANNEXURE-V 

## No, $\mathrm{Y}, 16011 / 2 / 2013-$ ESA (LB) Government of India Ministry of Labour \& Employment (ESA Section)

Sham Shakti Bhedvan, New Delah, Datal $12^{\text {th }}$ Octuber, 2017
 Labotir \& Employmeat hencby consitutes an Expert Group for finalizallan of Exthrded coveriegu of Quarterly Employment Suryey for Iess than 10 workers and mo-e, samitling methodology and reseures required for the quarterly Emplnyment Suncey being conJucted by Labour eunogit. The composit on of Eas Expert Group vould be ar followsi

| 5r.No | Composition of the Expert Group |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Ar. S.P. Makhorjoc, Embrilize Sefessar, Unisergaty of Kalkata, AD: 276, Sat Lako CHy, Kolkala | Chairman |
| 2. | Shrl G.E. Manna, Ex-DC, ESO, M/o Statistiss ec proçramime inplementation. | Nember |
| 3. | DGjDDG(E), Mitistry of Labou a Enployment, Shrart Shakt Ehawan, Nev Eelh | Maminer |
| 4. | DGG Lubour Bureau, , Chandigaria | Marnter |
| 5. | DQG (ESA), Ministry af lazzur \& Emp oyment, Shrant Shakti Fhawan, yew Eeliai | Nember |
| 6. | 2ug (exts) | Stamber |
| 2. | Zepresentative of V.V.Giri Nationat Labour institutc, Sector 24 . NOIDK, | Member |
| 9. |  itmpentertation as nominated by the Chief Statisticiaiz of Inda not below the renk of ADG/DEG. | Memitap |
| 9. | Firectar (QES), tebour Evireau, Chandigar | Mertber Secretery |

2. Terms of Reference:-
3. To exarnine and fircilze the coveray to well $\bar{\sigma} 5$ sample sise nf the Quaterly Fimployraent survey for thid establishments having Ioss than ID workers and more
(ii) To assess the resoumus required in serms of maspower fir the fidd wark, Dute Frocessing and sample selecfion and imparang trairing ta the rieid staft,
(iii) To examine the resoltis and finalize the feport writing.

Cinid/-
-01-
3. The perfod for sais Export Group shall ke of two years and the recessaris sharalarial हssistanca to the Expert Gruup will Ee grovided by the febour Burzud.
 in conmection with the wark of the Expert Group stell be met from the unds of the tabour Bureat, chandigarh, an attached office under the Ministey of tabour ant Enploymenc, vhile tte expenditure on TAQAA ir respect of official membirg will be met from the scunss from which their pay and allowances are drawn.

(6. A. zoan spanky<br>Unाईe Sutralaty to the Govt. Br India<br>Teie: 23473205

Tol

1) Chinf Statigtician of Tnida \& Sectotery sMosiगN, Singar Patel Ehawan, Nerv Dehw ruquested

ii) Dr. S.P. Makherjep, AS-275, Salt Lyku Cily, Kolka.



vi) $D G$ Labour Bursel, Chaadigarf
, yhf DDG (QLS), Laczur Burnal, Chandgach.
WiI: DG, V Y Giri hatignal Labour Insutute, Post Box no-6a; Sestor-24, NOIDA-2.01301 requested for naminatien of a mentien in the Exaert Group to the rank of Sr, Folow,
(x) Dirtckor QQES), Labour Bafaew, Chandqach

Copy To: PPS Secrstary ( $18=$ ) for infurmatioi please.
$\qquad$
Labour Bureau is an apex organisation at the national level providing data for policy formulation, evaluation and research since 1920. The Labour Bureau in the Union Ministry of Labour \& Employment is the epicentre of all activities involving planning, collection and dissemination of data on various facets of labour which forms a sound basis for decision making in the Government, industry and by various other user organizations/ individuals. Labour Bureau is a store house of important economic indicators like the Consumer Price Index Numbers for industrial Workers, agricultural and rural labourers, wage rates, industrial relations, socio-economic conditions in unorganised sector, evaluation and review of working of labour legislations in the country and the like. Labour Bureau has been providing uninterrupted services to the national and international forum like ILO since its inception. Today, it has assumed a vital role in the labour matters and acquired an un-disputed and indispensable status in the field of labour statistics. Equipped with the expertise of conducting surveys at the national/regional level in diverse fields and in providing in-depth analysis, the organisation continues in its pursuit of excellence.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Economic Survey, 2015-16, Ministry of Finance.

